PE NUMBER: 0604327F UNCLASSIFIED

PE TITLE: Hardened Target Munitions

4 - Demonstration and Validation

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)

February 1999

BUDGET ACTIVITY PE NUMBER AND TITLE

0604327F Hardened Target Munitions

PROJECT **4641**

	COST (\$ In Thousands)	FY 1998 Actual	FY 1999 Estimate	FY 2000 Estimate	FY 2001 Estimate	FY 2002 Estimate	FY 2003 Estimate	FY 2004 Estimate	FY 2005 Estimate	Cost to Complete	Total Cost
4641	(U) Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System (HDBTDS)	4,083	2,549	4,910	0	0	0	0	0	0	11,539
	Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(U) A. <u>Mission Description</u>

The Hard and Deeply Buried Target Defeat System (HDBTDS) program is an effort designed to hold at risk those highest priority assets essential to the enemy's war fighting ability, which are heavily defended and protectively hardened. Hardening techniques include construction of facilities, many of which are deep underground with multiple layers of reinforced concrete, rock rubble, and/or earth overburden. Other hardened targets include operations within caves, tunnels, and mountains built using rapidly improving construction equipment exported by allies and adversaries on a large scale. (Examples include enemy command and control facilities, air defense facilities, facilities for the production, storage, and deployment of weapons including weapons of mass destruction, surface to surface missile launch sites, aircraft storage sites, artillery sites.) Potential solutions include (but are not limited to) Special Forces, conventional short or long range ballistic missiles (land or sea launched), cruise missiles, direct attack munitions, and standoff weapons.

An Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) is being conducted to evaluate the weapon concepts to determine the most promising concepts to move forward into a follow-on program. The potential weapon concepts have been narrowed down from 65 to 10, which are being evaluated in a campaign analysis. The results of the AOA will be presented to the Defense Acquisition Board at a Milestone I in 4th Quarter FY 1999.

(U) FY 1998 (\$ in Thousands):

- (U) \$2,002 Conducted Analysis of Alternatives (AOA) study effort.
- (U) \$2,081 Stood up System Program Offices (SPOs).
- (U) \$4,083 Total

(U) FY 1999 (\$ in Thousands):

- (U) \$1,083 Complete the Phase 0 AOA study effort. The Congressional Appropriations Conference Committee directed that \$3.0M shall be available only for completion of a joint AOA (with the Navy) and planning efforts associated with establishing a joint hard and deeply buried target defeat program.
- (U) \$1,397 Continue SPO activities (acquisition support and documentation) to support planning efforts.
- (U) \$69 Identified as a source for SBIR
- (U) \$2,549 Total

Project 4641 Page 1 of 5 Pages Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604327F)

	RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)										
sudget activity 4 - Demonstration	and Validation		PE NUMBER AN 0604327F	ID TITLE Hardened 1	ons	PROJEC 4641					
	Initiate Phase I activities. Preliming modifications will be explored, as Continue SPO activities (acquisition the potential solutions to developm Systems Engineering and Technical Total	well as other solution support to Phase nent programs. al Analysis (SETA) d Validation, becau	ons that surface a I activities). Effective will include designation	s a result of the A orts will consist of gn analysis and p	AOA. of follow-on analys prototype evaluation	sis and surveys nec	essary to transiti				
·	ge Summary (\$ in Thousands)					Total					
		FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001						
(U) Previous President's	s Budget (FY 1999)	<u>FY 1998</u> 4,716	<u>FY 1999</u> 9,803	FY 2000 0	FY 2001 0	Cost 4,981					
U) Appropriated Value						Cost					
U) Appropriated ValueU) Adjustments to App	propriated Value	4,716 4,981	9,803 3,000			Cost					
(U) Appropriated Value(U) Adjustments to Appa. Cong Reductions	propriated Value	4,716 4,981 - 163	9,803			Cost					
(U) Appropriated Value (U) Adjustments to App a. Cong Reductions b. SBIR	propriated Value	4,716 4,981 - 163 - 103	9,803 3,000			Cost					
(U) Appropriated Value (U) Adjustments to App a. Cong Reductions b. SBIR c. Omnibus Paybac	oropriated Value s ck and Air Force Bill	4,716 4,981 - 163 - 103 - 32	9,803 3,000			Cost					
 (U) Appropriated Value (U) Adjustments to App a. Cong Reductions b. SBIR c. Omnibus Paybac d. Below Threshold 	oropriated Value S ck and Air Force Bill d Reprogramming	4,716 4,981 - 163 - 103	9,803 3,000	0	0	Cost					
b. SBIRc. Omnibus Paybacd. Below Threshold(U) Adjustments to Bud	oropriated Value s ck and Air Force Bill d Reprogramming get Years Since FY 1999 PB	4,716 4,981 - 163 - 103 - 32 - 600	9,803 3,000 - 451	4,910	0	<u>Cost</u> 4,981					
 (U) Appropriated Value (U) Adjustments to App a. Cong Reductions b. SBIR c. Omnibus Paybac d. Below Threshold 	oropriated Value s ck and Air Force Bill d Reprogramming get Years Since FY 1999 PB	4,716 4,981 - 163 - 103 - 32	9,803 3,000	0	0	Cost					

Page 2 of 5 Pages

Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604327F)

Project 4641

RDT&E BUDGET ITEM JUSTIFICATION SHEET (R-2 Exhibit)

DATE

February 1999

BUDGET ACTIVITY

PE NUMBER AND TITLE

PROJECT

4 - Demonstration and Validation

0604327F Hardened Target Munitions

4641

(U) Significant Program Changes:

Funding: FY 1999 funding was reduced from \$9.8M to \$3.0M to the Air Force and from \$9.8M to \$3.0M to the Navy. This reduction eliminated ongoing parameter analysis and trade study efforts. All Air Force and Navy funding has been combined to complete the AOA analysis and conduct a Milestone I DAB. FY 2000 funding was added to initiate Phase I leading to a decision to fulfill the requirement either from ongoing systems or a new start.

Schedule: The AOA was extended to evaluate additional concepts and conduct a survivability analysis. The AOA is estimated to be completed in 4Q FY 1999.

(U) D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Thousands): Not Applicable

(U) E. Acquisition Strategy:

The contract to perform the Phase 0 AOA work is a modification to an existing Systems Engineering and Technical Assistance (SETA) support contract to the Ogden Air Logistics Center (OO-ALC) ICBM System Program Office (SPO) - a Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract filled by TRW (Colorado Springs). Hardened Target Munitions Program alternatives are being fully evaluated in the AOA. At the conclusion of the AOA, the Air Force will have the necessary information to support a Milestone I decision. Weapon systems providing required capability will be identified and considered at that time.

(U) F. Schedule Profile

	FY 1998				FY 1999			FY 2000					FY 2001			
1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	

(U) Commence SPO Standup

(U) Complete AOA X X

(U) Milestone I

(U) Conduct System Requirements Review X (U) Start Preliminary Design X

X

(U) Conduct Preliminary Design Review

* = Completed event

X = Planned event

Exhibit R-2 (PE 0604327F) Page 3 of 5 Pages Project 4641

RD	T&E PRO	GRAM EL	EMENT/F	PROJECT	COST	BREAKD	OWN (R-	3)	DATE F	ebruary 1	999
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Demonstra	ation and Va	alidation				BER AND TITLE 327F Hard	ened Targ	et Munitio	าร		PROJECT 4641
(U) A. <u>Project C</u>	ost Breakdown	(\$ in Thousan	<u>ds)</u>								
				FY 199	<u>98</u>	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	<u>_</u>		
(U) AOA (U) SPO(s) Stands (U) SETA Contrac (U) Phase I Contrac	2,00 2,00		1,083 1,397	0 1,580 1,460 1,870	((())					
(U) Identified as a(U) Total	source for SBIR			4,08	83	69 2,549	4,910	()		
(U) B. Budget A	cquisition Histor	ry and Planni	ng Informatio	n (\$ in Thousa	nds)						
Performing Organ	nizations:										
Contractor or Government Performing <u>Activity</u>	Contract Method/Type or Funding <u>Vehicle</u>	Award or Obligation <u>Date</u>	Performing Activity EAC	Project Office EAC	Tota Prior to FY 1998	Budget	Budget <u>FY 1999</u>	Budget FY 2000	Budget <u>FY 2001</u>	Budget to Complete	Total <u>Program</u>
Identified as a source for SBIR							69				69
Product Developm	ent Organization	<u>s</u>									
TRW (CO Spgs)	SS/CPAF	Oct 96	8,794*	8,794*	3,800	* 2,002	1,083	0	0	0	6,885
SETA Contractors	TBD	Oct 99	1,460	1,460	(0 0	0	1,460	0	0	1,460
Phase I Contract TBD	TBD	Oct 99	1,870	1,870	(0 0	0	1,870	0	0	1,870
Project 4641				P	age 4 of 5	Pages		Exh	ibit R-3 (PE	0604327F)	

RD	T&E PROC	RAM EL	EMENT/P	ROJECT	COST B	REAKDO	OWN (R-	3)	DATE F	ebruary 1	999	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 - Demonstra	ation and Va	lidation				R AND TITLE 27F Harde	ened Targe	ns	PROJECT 4641			
Contractor or Government Performing Activity	Contract Method/Type or Funding <u>Vehicle</u>	Award or Obligation <u>Date</u>	Performing Activity EAC	Project Office EAC	Total Prior to FY 1998	Budget FY 1998	Budget FY 1999	Budget <u>FY 2000</u>	Budget FY 2001	Budget to Complete	Total <u>Program</u>	
NOTE: *This figure	e includes FY96	FY97 funds fr	com PE 060331	1F.								
Support and Manag	gement Organiza	<u>tions</u>										
ASC/YG (Eglin)	MIPR	Oct 97	N/A	N/A	0	1,118	990	1,140	0	0	3,248	
SMC/TE (Kirtland)	MIPR	Oct 97	N/A	N/A	0	943	172	0	0	0	1,115	
AFMC/OAS Kirtland AFB	MIPR	Dec 97	N/A	N/A	0	20	10	0	0	0	30	
TRW (McLean, VA)	SS/CPAF	Dec 98	N/A	N/A	0	0	225	440	0	0	665	
Test and Evaluation	n Organizations	: None										
Government Furn	ished Property:	None										
Subtotal Product De Subtotal Support ar Subtotal Test and E Identified as a source Total Project	nd Management Evaluation				0 0 0	2,002 2,081 0 4,083	1,083 1,397 0 69 2,549	3,330 1,580 0 4,910	0 0 0	0 0 0	6,415 5,058 0 11,539	
Project 4641				P	age 5 of 5 Pa	ges		Exh	nibit R-3 (PE	0604327F)		